Storage Stability, Gastrointestinal Release and Sensory Properties of Cookies Incorporated with Protein-based *Moringa oleifera* Leaf Extract Microcapsule
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ABSTRACT

*Moringa oleifera* leaves have been known for the numerous nutritional and health benefits. In this study, the bioactive compounds present in *M. oleifera* leaf extract were extracted and encapsulated in soy protein isolate (SPI) and pea protein isolate (PPI). The *M. oleifera* microcapsules (MM) produced were incorporated in cookies on replacement basis at 2.5%, 5% and 10% (w/w), respectively with wheat flour. Total polyphenolic contents (TPC) of *M. oleifera* microcapsules with soy protein isolate (MM-SPI) cookies were 0.72, 0.89 and 1.11 mg GAE/g at 2.5%, 5% and 10%, respectively and the TPC for *M. oleifera* microcapsules with pea protein isolate (MM-PPI) cookies were 0.74, 0.84 and 0.93 mg GAE/g at 2.5%, 5%, and 10%, respectively. The antioxidant scavenging properties (DPPH) of incorporated cookies for MM-SPI cookies were 0.70, 0.84 and 1.22 mg TE/g at 2.5%, 5% and 10%, respectively and the TPC for MM cookies showed slight levels of degradation in the TPC and the percentage TPC retained after 90 days storage were 96.84%, 80.65% and 49.26% for cookies with 5% MM-SPI, 5% MM-PPI and 0% MM cookies, respectively. The gastrointestinal stability of the MM cookies showed a higher released rate at the intestinal system. The MM were able to mask *M. oleifera* greenish colouration, although at 10% incorporation of MM in cookies; the beany flavour of SPI and PPI were intense, which led to low sensory evaluation scores.
INTRODUCTION

In recent time, due to the advent of chronic diseases affecting the nations of the world consumers no longer need foods with basic nutritional benefits. Hence the need for developing food products with optimal health benefits, such food products are rich in bioactive compounds which contains anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, anticancer and antioxidant properties. In addition, these food products could act as a better substitute for food supplements regarding its efficiency in bioactive compounds, consumption, safety, delivery, and bioavailability (Tumbas et al., 2016).

*Moringa oleifera* is an Indian local medicinal herb, which was first discovered from ‘Sub-Himalayan tracts of Indian’. It is well known in the tropics and subtropical regions of the world (Ramachandran et al., 1980). *M. oleifera* has gained importance in the area of functional food ingredients due to it nutritional and health-promoting attributes. According to Kasolo et al. (2010), *M. oleifera* leaves contains numerous essential nutrients, which includes, amino acids, vitamins, β–carotene, minerals, and several polyphenolics as well as antioxidants, anti-inflammatory, omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids. The extract of *M. oleifera* leaves contains high amount of polyphenolic contents which has high antioxidant properties against free radicals, preventing oxidative damage to biomolecules and enables adequate defence from its damage (Sarwat et al., 2012).

*M. oleifera* leaves have slightly bitter taste and its green colour limit its utilisation as functional food ingredient. However, bioactive compounds present in leafy vegetables like the polyphenols are not stable during food processing, storage and along the intestinal tract. The above limitation could lead to a reduction of its potential health benefit (Fang and Bhandari, 2010). To avoid this limitation, ensure stability, palatability and retention of its essential properties during processing, storage and consumption; there is the need for microencapsulation of *M. oleifera* leaf extract.

Microencapsulation is a method by which droplet size and tiny particles are bounded by a polymer wall material, or are enclosed in a homogeneous or heterogeneous matrix, to form a micro size capsule (Gharsallaoui et al., 2007). It is the entrapment of a gaseous, liquid, or a solid substance within another substance in a very small microcapsule. The core materials are mainly bioactive compounds, which gradually diffused through the capsule walls, ensuring a controlled released under the desired conditions (Fang and Bhandari, 2010). Microencapsulation preserves bioactive constituents and ensures better handling properties. It provides barriers against oxygen, light and temperature. It also masks unpleasant taste and colour. Spray-drying technique is a method of microencapsulation. It is an uninterrupted transformation of feed from a fluid
state into dried particles produced by spraying the feed fluid into a hot drying chamber. It is a less expensive industrial process which is widely utilised for the encapsulation of fragrances, oils, bioactive compounds and flavours (Desai and Park, 2005).

Researches have been carried out on the interactions between protein and polyphenols; the binding is mainly non-covalent hydrophobic interaction which may later be stabilised by hydrogen bonding (Tumbas et al., 2016). Jakobek (2015) confirms that the interactions between proteins and polyphenol could masked polyphenol and their antioxidant activity. He also suggested the possibility of proteins-polyphenol interactions, could enable proteins to act as a carrier of polyphenols and prevent it from oxidation through the gastrointestinal tracts; this further suggests that proteins are good wall materials for polyphenols. Furthermore, carbohydrate wall materials are mainly used to encapsulate food grade products because of their low viscosity and high film forming attributes. However, carbohydrates possess low interfacial properties and must be modified in order improve their superficial activity (Kanakdande et al., 2007). Whereas, proteins are good amphiphilic molecules with film-forming and emulsifying attributes (Encina et al., 2016). Their structural and chemical characteristics makes them suitable in delivery of bioactive ingredients. Nevertheless, only few proteins have been utilised as wall materials for the encapsulation of bioactive ingredients, and to a lesser extent protein from plant sources (Li and Tang, 2013). Soybeans contain essential fractions (35-40%) of proteins they are mostly conglycin and glycinin (50-90% of total proteins) (Ruiz-Henestrosa et al., 2007). Both isolated and purified soy proteins possesses a good physiochemical, functional attribute, emulsifying properties, particularly gel forming, and surfactant (Gu et al., 2009). Soy protein isolate (SPI) can be used as an individual coating material but can also be mixed with polysaccharides (Augustin et al., 2006). Pea seed extracts are utilised as pea proteins (Koyoro and Powers, 1987). Pea proteins gotten from grains have notable gel-forming (Raymundo et al., 2005) and emulsifying properties (Akintayo et al., 1999). However, literature on microencapsulation uses pea protein isolate (PPI) in mixtures with polysaccharides (Ducel et al., 2004; Gharsallaoui et al., 2007). Protein/polysaccharide interactions give a vital properties to pea proteins without enzymatic or chemical modification, especially foaming solubility and surfactant properties (Liu et al., 2010). Although in this study, only PPI and SPI were utilised as wall materials for encapsulation of M. oleifera leaf extract to see its effectiveness.

Cookies offer an important supplementation vehicle for nutritionally developed products since they are generally accepted and consumed by all age grades. They are one of the most common and ready to eat food with low price. Hence, nutritional enrichment of cookies is of great importance. Studies have shown that the fortification of M. oleifera leaves in food as value-added products (Kar et al, 2013; Oyeyinka and Oyeyinka, 2018). According to Sengev et al.
(2013), the replacement of *M. oleifera* leaf powder in bread increases the nutritional composition of bread, but it is not a good substitute with wheat flour due to its poor physical characteristics and low sensory attributes. Also, studies from Kar et al. (2013) showed *M. oleifera* leaves incorporated in cookies had very low sensory evaluation due to its off-flavour and slightly bitter taste. To overcome the above limitations, *M. oleifera* leaf extract were encapsulated with SPI and PPI and incorporated in cookies. This will enhance the physical characteristics of cookies; increase its sensory attributes, storage stability and control release of the bioactive compounds in the gastrointestinal tract.

The study was aimed to evaluate the possibility to developed functional cookies, enriched with *M. oleifera* leaf extract encapsulated in SPI and PPI. In addition, to evaluate the sensory properties of cookies, storage stability and gastrointestinal release profile of the bioactive compounds present in the fortified cookies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The leaves of *M. oleifera* were obtained from a farm in Yarin distrist, Pattani Province, Thailand. *M. oleifera* leaves were washed with tap water to eliminate sand and other contaminants. The leaves were freeze-dried using a freeze dryer (FD8-CoolSafe Advanced, Scan Vac A/S, Denmark) later crushed with a food processor and sieved with 250 micro mesh in order to obtain a fine powder. The fine *M. oleifera* leaf powder was stored in an airtight polyethylene pouch at 4°C before further analysis.

**Preparation of *Moringa oleifera* extract (MLE)**

*Moringa oleifera* leaf extract (MLE) was prepared by macerated 5 g of *M. oleifera* leaf powder in 250 mL of 80% ethanol in an erlenmeyer flask and corked. The solution was placed on a magnetic stirrer for 24 hours and sieved with Whatman No.1 filter paper. The extraction process was repeated twice, the filtrate was concentrated using rotary evaporator and vacuum centrifuge evaporator (R1).

**Preparation of *Moringa oleifera* microcapsule (MM)**

*Moringa oleifera* microcapsules were produced by spray drying techniques with two different plant proteins as wall materials. The bioactive compounds present in MLE were encapsulated in pea protein isolate (PPI), and soy proteins isolate (SPI) at an inlet air temperature of 180°C, the core: wall material ratio was 1:4 (w/w), respectively. The wall materials were prepared by dissolving 19.8 g protein in distilled water and placed in a water bath for 2 hours at 50°C and 100 rpm. 100 mL of *M. oleifera* leaf extract solution (0.022 g extract/mL) was added to the protein solution. The mixture was homogenised at 16,000 rpm for 10 min (Ton et al., 2015) and fed into the spray dry chamber with a peristatic pump at a rate of 23 mL/min, the inlet air and outlet air temperature
were 180°C and 75±5°C, respectively. The air pressure was 2.0 bar atomiser and a nozzle diameter of 1.0 mm. *M. oleifera* microcapsules (MM) produced were stored in an airtight polyethylene pouch at -20°C for further analysis.

**Preparations of MM cookies**

On replacement basis, a combination of wheat flour with MM-SPI and MM-PPI powder containing 2.5%, 5%, and 10% w/w, and control cookies (0% MM cookies) was prepared, respectively. The cookies were prepared according to Gisslen (2015) with slight modifications. All the dried ingredients were sieved; butter (12.5 g), shortening (37.5 g) and icing sugar (30 g), were mixed with a mixer at low speed for about 3 min. NaCl (0.8 g), NH₄HCO₃ (0.1 g) were mixed with egg (9.5 g) and added to the creamed sugar-butter mixture and mixed for an additional 3 min. Thereafter, the wheat flour and encapsulated powder were added and continue mixing for about 5 min at medium speed. After mixing, each dough was rolled into a polyethylene bag and chilled for 2 hours in the refrigerator. The dough was cut and baked in an oven at 180± 10°C for about 15 min and cooled for about 30 min on a sterilised wired rack. The cookies were packed in high-density polypropylene pouches and stored in an airtight container at room temperature.

**Analysis of total polyphenolic content (TPC) and DPPH activity**

The total polyphenol content (TPC) and DPPH activity was determined on both dough and cookies. To obtain the extracts for analysis, 200 mg of each dough and cookies sample was extracted in a mixture of 1 mL acetone, 1 mL of methanol, acetic acid and water mixture at a ratio of (50:8:42). The solution was stirred with a vortex stirrer for 1 min and centrifuged at 6,000 rpm for 5 min. In the dough samples, the solutions were homogenised at 11,000 rpm for 2 min before centrifugation. The supernatant was filtered by Whatman filter paper No 1. The total phenolic content of dough and cookies extracts were analysed using the folin-Ciocalteu method by Singleton et al. (1999), while antioxidant activity (DPPH) of each sample was analysed using spectrophotometer by the method of Payet et al. (2005). The result was expressed in mg Gallic acid equivalents per g cookies (mg GAE/g cookies) and mg Trotox equivalent per g cookies (mg TE/g cookies), respectively.

**Storage stability**

The storage stability of MM cookies was carried out, based on its sensory evaluation scores. About 50 g of 5% MM-SPI, 5% MM-PPI and 0% MM cookies were selected for storage. The cookies were stored in high-density polypropylene pouches at room temperature for 90 days, the TPC in cookies was analysed every two weeks, in order to evaluate the rate of degradation of TPC with time.
Gastrointestinal release profile

The gastrointestinal released profile of 5% MM-SPI, 5% MM-PPI and 0% MM cookies were analysed by in vitro analysis, simulating gastrointestinal fluids; the simulation of gastric and intestinal fluid system were carried out by (Chiu et al. 2007; Paramera et al. 2011; Zheng et al. 2011). The gastric fluid simulation at pH 2.0 consist of 0.1 M Citric acid solution and 0.3% pepsin enzyme. The intestinal fluid system at pH 8.0 consist of di-sodium phosphate buffer (Chiu et al., 2007), and 0.1% pancreatic enzyme (Paramera et al., 2011).

0.1 g of 5% MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies and 0% MM cookies were added to 20 mL of each solution (simulated gastric and intestinal fluid). The solutions were continuously stirred in a water bath of 100 rpm at 37 °C. 1.5 mL aliquot of the solutions were collected at 0, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 240 min, respectively. The solutions were centrifuged for 15 min, at 3,420 g and the supernatants were used for the determination of TPC. The sample aliquot taking from the water bath was replaced by the same quantity of the respective solutions (Belak-Cvitanović et al., 2011).

Colour analysis

The surface colour of the cookies was determined in 10 replicates after 24 hours of baking. CIE lab colour coordinate ($L^*$; lightness, $a^*$; redness to greenness and $b^*$; yellowness to blueness) were evaluated using the colour meter (Mini Scan XE Plus, Model 45/0S, Hunter Associate Laboratory Inc., U.S.A.). The equipment was operated in reflectance specular included mode (RSIN, area view = 19.812 mm, illuminant = D65/10) and a white tile was used in calibration before taken measurements. The results were expressed in the mean value of all sample replicates.

Sensory analysis

A panel comprising of 30-trained panellist from the Faculty of Science and Technology, Prince of Songkla University, Pattani Campus performed a sensory evaluation attributes on the cookies. The panellist evaluated each freshly baked cookies attributes based on odour, crispiness, appearance, flavour, colour and overall acceptability, by applying nine-point hedonic scale. The data contained on the sensory performance were indicated as 9 = like extremely, 8 = like very much 7= like moderately 6= like slightly, 5= neither like or dislike, 4= dislike slightly, 3= dislike, 2= dislike very much, 1= dislike extremely.

The cookies were coded with three-digit random numbers and served to the panellists on a white plate. The analysis was performed in a sensory laboratory room; a partition closet and brightened with fluorescence lights. The panellists rinsed their mouth with water after eating each sample.

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were carried out by completely randomised design (CRD). The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). Duncan’s multiple
range tests with a confidence interval of 0.5% ($P < 0.05$). Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS for Windows; SPSS Inc.).

**RESULT**

**Total phenolic content (TPC) and DPPH activity on cookie dough and baked MM cookies**

In this study, the TPC and DPPH activities from *M. oleifera* leaf powder extracted with 80% ethanol were 26.45±0.85 mg GAE/g powder and 45.75± mg TE/g powder. The resulting TPC and DPPH activities of MM-SPI was 11.96 ± 0.77 mg GAE/g and 8.12 ± 0.53 mg TE/g, and in MM-PPI was 8.81 ±0.30 mg GAE/g and 4.45 ± 0.19 mg TE/g, respectively. The result of microencapsulation of MM-SPI and MM-PPI showed the ability of protein to bind and interact with polyphenols. The result also showed that the interaction between the wall materials and the bioactive compounds present in MLE varies in MM produced.

Table 1 shows the TPC and DPPH activities of the dough and baked cookies incorporated with MM-SPI and MM-PPI at different formulations. From the results, it was observed that the TPC and DPPH activity of the respective cookies were susceptible to thermal degradation during the process of baking. It was also observed that MM-SPI cookies have the highest rate of TPC and DPPH activities when compared with MM-PPI cookies and 0% had the least TPC and DPPH activities.

**Table 1.** TPC and DPPH activity of cookies incorporated with MM-SPI and MM-PPI at different concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MM concentrations (% , w/w)</th>
<th>TPC (mg GAE/g)</th>
<th>DPPH scavenging activity (mg TE/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dough cookies</td>
<td>Baked cookies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0% (MM)</td>
<td>0.81±0.05Ga</td>
<td>0.68±0.12fb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% MM-SPI</td>
<td>1.07±0.05da</td>
<td>0.72±0.13eb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% MM-SPI</td>
<td>1.19±0.03ba</td>
<td>0.89±0.89cb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% MM-SPI</td>
<td>1.47±0.11aa</td>
<td>1.11±0.07ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% MM-PPI</td>
<td>0.87±0.06fa</td>
<td>0.74±0.06eb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% MM-PPI</td>
<td>1.02±0.10ea</td>
<td>0.84±0.31db</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% MM-PPI</td>
<td>1.16±0.03ca</td>
<td>0.93±0.19bb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data were presented mean ± SD (n=3). *Different superscript of small letters within a column shows a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) and different superscript capital letters between row are significantly different for each assay ($P < 0.05$).
Storage stability

In the storage stability, three selected samples based on the sensory evaluation were (5% MM-SPI cookies, 5% MM-PPI cookies and 0% MM cookies) were tested for TPC as shown in Figure 1. The packed cookies were stored at room temperature for 12 weeks and analysis of TPC was carried out every two weeks. From the results, the initial concentration of TPC present MM-SPI, MM-PPI and 0% MM cookies were 0.89, 0.87 and 0.68 mg GAE/g, respectively. At the end of 12 weeks, the rates of degradation were 0.89-0.86, 0.87-0.7 and 0.68-0.33 mg GAE/g for MM-SPI, MM-PPI and 0% MM cookies, respectively.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1.** Total phenolic content (TPC) retention in 0% MM cookies and *Moringa oleifera* microcapsules (5% MM) at room temperature for 90 days storage.

Gastrointestinal release profile of MM cookies

The gastrointestinal release of TPC of both MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies were shown in Figure 2. In the gastric simulation with pepsin enzyme at pH 2.0, the rate of release was significantly lower when compared to intestinal stimulation with pH 8.0 ($P<0.05$). The low level of release at pH 2.0 could because of co-precipitation of phenolics with protein and other constituents at the gastric system (Mc Dougall et al., 2005; Flores et al., 2014). The total amount of TPC released for MM-SPI, MM-PPI and 0% MM cookies were 0.67, 0.65 and 0.59 mg GAE/g, respectively after 240 min of digestion, whereas the amount of TPC released at the intestinal system was 0.78, 0.75 and 0.67 mg GAE/g after 240 min of digestion.
Figure 2. Total phenolic releasing profile in the simulated gastric system (SG) (A) and total phenolic releasing profile in the simulated intestinal system (SI) (B).

Colour
Cookies colour were evaluated by three main parameters, which includes the $L^*$, $a^*$ and $b^*$ values. The $L^*$ significance the level of lightness, $a^*$ significance specifies redness or greenness and $b^*$ significance yellowness of the sample. Table 2 shows the results obtained from the colour analysis of MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies. Cookies with 10% MM exhibited the least lightness ($L^*$) and more greenish colourations when compared to other formulations. Similar results were observed in Figure 3, in 10% MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies; the
cookies appearance were darker and also MM-PPI cookies had lower lightness similar to its $L^*$ values when compared to MM-SPI cookies.

**Table 2.** Physical properties of cookies incorporated with MM-SPI and MM-PPI at different concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MM concentrations (%, w/w)</th>
<th>Colour properties</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L^*$</td>
<td>$a^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0% (MM)</td>
<td>71.78±0.94&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>71.78±0.94&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% MM-SPI</td>
<td>65.86±0.57&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.86±0.57&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% MM-SPI</td>
<td>60.72±0.030&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60.72±0.03&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% MM-SPI</td>
<td>54.45±0.28&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>54.45±0.28&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% MM-PPI</td>
<td>62.26±0.75&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62.26±0.75&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% MM-PPI</td>
<td>56.46±0.16&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>56.46±0.16&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% MM-PPI</td>
<td>47.03±0.19&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>47.03±0.19&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data were presented mean ± SD (n=3), Different superscripts within a column are significantly different ($P<0.05$).

**Figure 3.** MM-PPI and MM-SPI cookies at different concentrations of MM and control cookies (0% MM cookies).

**Sensory properties**

The formulation of new product development needs to consider the sensory evaluation and consumer acceptability. Table 3 showed the results obtained from sensory quality assessment. This analysis was determined to evaluate the consumer acceptability for MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies. The sensory quality
of the cookies was rated based on the following attributes: colour, overall acceptability, odour, flavour, appearance, taste and crispiness. Cookies with 10% incorporation of MM-SPI and MM-PPI had significantly low sensory attributes in all the formulations.

**Table 3.** Sensory evaluation of control and cookies incorporated with MM-PPI and MM-SPI at different concentration using a 9-point hedonic scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MM concentrations (%)</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0% MM</td>
<td>7.50±1.81a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% MM-PPI</td>
<td>7.07±1.74ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% MM-PPI</td>
<td>6.90±1.56ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% MM-PPI</td>
<td>6.23±1.08bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% MM-SPI</td>
<td>6.66±1.49ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% MM-SPI</td>
<td>6.10±1.67b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% MM-SPI</td>
<td>4.7±1.09c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data were presented mean±SD (n=30), Different superscripts within a column are significantly different (\(P<0.05\)).

**DISCUSSION**

A significantly higher amount of TPC and DPPH activities was observed in MM-SPI when compared with MM-PPI, this may be due to higher protein content present in SPI than in PPI. The higher the protein content present in the wall materials, the more interactions between the polyphenol present in *M. oleifera* leaf extract (Tumbas et al., 2016). There was a significant decrease in the TPC and DPPH activity of baked cookies when compared to the dough because of thermal lost at (180ºC), baking temperature that was in line with the report of Zheng et al. (2014). The results from this present study shows that TPC and DPPH scavenging activity was significantly higher (\(P<0.05\)) in MM-SPI cookies when compared to MM-PPI cookies. It also indicated that MM-PPI cookies exhibited significantly higher thermal degradation when compared to MM-SPI cookies. This could be due to the higher protein content of SPI shielding the bioactive compounds from degradation during baking processes. Similar
results were found in the incorporation of sour cherry pomace with soy and whey protein incorporated in cookies. Soy proteins have higher protein content and were able to bind more polyphenolic compounds than whey proteins (Tumbas et al., 2016).

Base on TPC in both 5% MM-SPI and MM-PPI incorporated cookies, there was no statistical difference ($P<0.05$) in the storage stability of cookies from 0-8 weeks storage. There was a statistical difference between week 10 and 12 of cookies storage ($P<0.05$). In 0% MM cookies, there was statistical difference in every two weeks of analysis. MM cookies experience a slight level of degradation; the percentage of TPC retained after 12 weeks was 96.84%, 80.65% and 49.26% for 5% MM-SPI, 5% MM-PPI and 0% MM cookies. The 0% MM cookies experienced a higher rate of degradation of TPC, more than 50% loss after 12 weeks storage. In this study, MM retained more TPC in cookies when compared to 0% MM cookies, respectively. MM-SPI cookies have a significantly low rate of degradation; it could be due to the higher proteins content of MM-SPI compared MM-PPI, which forms stronger aggregated network during baking process preventing degradation of polyphenols. That is, lower quantity of protein carrier will have little polyphenol binding site and can be easily degraded when compared to a higher protein carrier (Tumbas et al., 2016).

In the gastrointestinal release profile, it was observed that the rate of TPC was more released at the intestinal system. These results are similar to Flore et al. (2014) and Tumbas et al. (2016). In both experiments, it was observed that when proteins were used as wall materials, the amount of TPC was more released at the intestinal system. The control released of TPC is highly essential; this indicated that the consumption of moringa microcapsules cookies is viable because of the avoidance of loss of bioactive compounds during processing (Belak-Cvitonivi et al., 2011).

The colour of food is a vital quality assessed by consumers before consumption, as the level of MM-SPI and MM-PPI incorporated into cookies increase, the lower the $L^*$ value obtained. The addition of more MM decreases the lightness of cookies and there was a significant reduction in the $a^*$ value, as the level of MM-SPI and MM-PPI incorporation in cookies increases; it shows that cookies tend to be greenish as more MM were incorporated. The $a^*$ value of cookies was related to the level of MM-SPI and MM-PPI incorporated in cookies as seen in Figure 3. The 10% incorporation of MM-SPI and MM-PPI in cookies had the lowest $a^*$ and $b^*$ value in all the formulated cookies analysed. However, cookies enriched with MM-SPI tend to show less greenish colouration and more lightness when compared to cookies enriched with MM-PPI; although soy protein isolate possessed a higher $L^*$ value (91.70) than pea protein isolate (87.25).

In the sensory evaluation, cookies appearance and colour indicate an essential quality of cookies, as professed by the consumers’ eyes. The result obtained in this study indicated a significant difference in cookies at 10% formulation in both MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies. The result is also related to
cookies colour analysis, where the $L^*$ value decreased at 10% formulation (Table 2). Sensory evaluation based on flavour and odour of MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies had the least score at 10% formulation; this indicates that higher concentration of MM-SPI and MM-PPI in cookies leads to beany flavour in cookies. Crispiness and taste are essential quality marker; they suggest the mouth-feel, detected by tongue receptors (de Man, 1999). There was no significant difference between 0% MM, MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies expect at 10% incorporation. The result implies that at high concentration of MM-SPI and MM-PPI cookies, the texture of the cookies in terms of crispiness and taste was compromised and the panellists were not willing to accept the intense taste and less crispiness of the cookies. In the overall acceptability of cookies, there was no significant difference between cookies, 0% MM and the enriched cookies except for 10% formulations. It implies that microencapsulation of Moringa oleifera leaf extract incorporation in cookies can be preferred in cookies at 5% concentration. Based on the results of sensory evaluation, cookies with 5% MM formulation serves as the optimal level for replacement with wheat flour.

CONCLUSION

Microencapsulation of Moringa oleifera leaf extract in SPI and PPI were able to bind the total phenolic contents; it also enhances the storage stability of TPC in the fortified cookies. The study also showed that TPC in cookies could be absorbed in the intestinal system since more TPC were released at the intestinal system. MM were able to mask Moringa oleifera leaves greenish colouration although, at 10% formulation, the beany flavour of SPI and PPI were observed by the panelists which led to low sensory evaluation scores.

RECOMMENDATION

It could be recommended that in order to reduce the beany flavour in 10% MM cookies, the quantity of wall materials used (SPI and PPI) should be replaced or substituted with a carbohydrate wall material. This will help to reduce the beany flavour of vegetable proteins.
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